

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 25.8.10 Surveyor: MK

Tree details  
 TPO Ref (if applicable): 474(2010) Tree/Group No: T1-T4 G1-G10 Species: Various (see report)  
 Owner (if known): Residents Location: Low Mill, Cator  
 Low Mill.

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable
- 0) Dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

Score & Notes 5  
 Many trees planted after re-development of site into residential properties.

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10\* Unsuitable

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Score & Notes 5  
 Many trees are young - early mature, in good health with significant remaining life potential.

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

- Highly suitable
- Suitable
- Suitable
- Barely suitable
- Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes 3  
 Visible public vantage points - public footpath to north + limited mill lane.

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes 1

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes 2 opposing views within residential complex as to management of site trees.

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:  
 16

Decision:  
 SEVERE TPO.